SHELTON L. FREEMAN (AZ #009687) DECONCINI McDonald YETWIN & LACY. P.C. 6909 East Main Street Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 3 Ph: (480) 398-3100 4 Fax: (480) 398-3101 E-mail: tfreeman@lawdmyl.com 5 Counsel to Radical Bunny, L.L.C. 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 8 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 9 Chapter 11 In re: 10 11 MORTGAGES LTD., Case No. 2:08-bk-07465-RJH 12 REPLY TO LIQUIDATING TRUST'S **OBJECTION TO THE** 13 SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION Debtor. 14 PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(3)(D) AND (4) FOR 15 ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF 16 ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM OF CREDITOR RADICAL BUNNY 17 18 Hearing Date: January 20, 2011 Hearing Time: 3:00 p.m. 19 Location: 230 N. First Ave., 6th Floor, Courtroom 603, Phoenix, AZ Hearing 20 21 Related Docket Nos. 1888, 2014, 2027, 2088, 2395, 2398, 2407, 2514, 2521, 2529, 2595, 2982, 22 3009, 3018, 3021 & 3048 23 24 Creditor RADICAL BUNNY, L.L.C. ("RBLLC"), by and through its duly 25 authorized attorneys, hereby replies to the "Liquidating Trust's Objection to the 26 Supplemental Application Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(B)(3)(D) and (4) for 27 Allowance and Payment of Administrative Claim of Creditor Radical Bunny", DE 28

\\DMYL-SBS01\Users Shared Folders\SLF\280685\Mortgages, Ltd BK Docs\Radical Bunny Pleadings\Sub Contrib Claim\Supplemental Claim\Reply.LT.Obj.Supp.App.02.docx

3048 ("Objection"), filed by Counsel for the ML Liquidating Trust ("LT Counsel"). Capitalized terms used, but not defined herein, are defined in the Supplemental Application, DE 3021.

A. This Court Has Jurisdiction to Award Attorneys' Fees

Even after a notice of appeal has been filed, the Bankruptcy Court has authority to award attorneys' fees. The Bankruptcy Court retains jurisdiction to rule upon ancillary matters such as awarding attorneys' fees. The United States Supreme Court has determined that it is "indisputable that a claim for attorney's fees is not part of the merits of the action to which the fees pertain." *Budinich v. Becton Dickinson & Co.*, 486 U.S. 196, 200 (1988).

This continued jurisdiction has been repeatedly recognized. See, e.g., United States ex rel Familian Northwest v. RG & B Contractors, Inc., 21 F.3d 952, 954-56 (9th Cir.1994); In re Price, 410 B.R. 51, 56 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2009); In re Ratliff, 2010 WL 653700 (Bankr. D. Ariz. 2/18/2010). See also Hill & Sanford, LLP v. Mirzai (In re Mirzai), 236 B.R. 8, 10 (9th Cir. BAP 1999). Compare In re Sherman, 491 F.3d 948 (9th Cir. 2006), which did not address an award of attorneys' fees.

A notice of appeal "filed after ... judgment but before the determination of the fee amount, pertain[s] only to the merits of the litigation." *Intel Corp. v. Terabyte International, Inc.*, 6 F.3d 614, 617 (9th Cir.1993). Therefore, LT Counsel's pending notice of appeal relates only to the merits of the Amended Order, and this Court retains jurisdiction to determine the amount of attorneys fees to be awarded.

B. <u>Amended Order is Final Judgment Justifying Fee Award</u>

This Court has authority to enforce the terms of the Amended Order. This Court can determine the amount of attorneys fees and costs to be awarded as requested by the Supplemental Application, which is ripe for decision. This Court

1

2

11 12

13

8

9

10

14 15

16 17

18 19

2021

2223

24

25

262728

has already determined that the fees and costs incurred in preparing and in litigating RBLLC's Administrative Claim Application are recoverable under *North Sports, Inc. v. Knupfer (In re Wind N' Wave)*, 509 F.3d 938, 943-944 (9th Cir. 2007), and related Ninth Circuit precedent.

This Court's Amended Order is a final order for purposes of determining the amount of attorneys fees to be awarded. "It is fundamental that the mere pendency of an appeal does not, in itself, disturb the finality of a judgment." Wedbush, Noble, Cooke, Inc. v. SEC, 714 F.2d 923, 924 (9th Cir. 1983). The only stated basis for LT Counsel's objection to a determination of the amount of RBLLC's attorneys fees is an unsupported argument that the Liquidating Trust could succeed on its latest appeal. That possibility does not affect the current finality of the Amended Order for the purpose of awarding attorneys' fees.

A fee award is only final and appealable after the amount of attorneys fees to be awarded has been determined. See, e.g., Intel Corp. v. Terabyte International, Inc., 6 F.3d 614, 617 (9th Cir.1993). A prompt determination of the amount of attorneys fees to be awarded will create a second final, appealable judgment. Immediate entry of such award will address the LT Counsel's objection to governing federal law (which requires LT Counsel to separately appeal the requested fee award). If LT Counsel desires to appeal the fee award, LT Counsel can request that such appeal be consolidated with the appeal of the Amended Order.

C. There is No Legal or Equitable Basis for Delay

There is no legal or equitable basis to delay entry of an award for the Supplemental Application. An immediate award of attorneys fees is appropriate under Ninth Circuit law. Based on information from the Trustee of the Liquidating Trust, the Liquidating Trust may have failed to comply with its legal obligation to maintain reserves for payment of administrative claims in this case. An immediate

determination of the amount of the one professional administrative claim that remains pending in this Chapter 11 case will be beneficial for all parties.

The Supplemental Application establishes RBLLC's entitlement to attorneys fees pursuant to the legal standards of 11 U.S.C. § 330. The filings in this case, and this Court's own knowledge of the litigation of the Administrative Claim Application, establish that RBLLC's attorneys fees were "necessary" due to the actions of the Liquidating Trustee and LT Counsel. These are the only legally applicable legal standards that RBLLC is required to meet to recover its attorneys fees and costs. See North Sports, Inc. v. Knupfer (In re Wind N' Wave), 509 F.3d at 943-944.

LT Counsel failed to timely object to the reasonableness of any particular time entries evidencing DMYL's legal services. There is no legal or equitable basis to allow LT Counsel to pursue "discovery" regarding the reasonableness of those attorneys fees when no discovery was timely pursued. It would be particularly inequitable to allow LT Counsel to delay a determination of RBLLC's attorneys fees when the Liquidating Trust may not even have the resources to pay its outstanding obligations. Any delay in entering the requested fee award would only unduly prejudice the rights of RBLLC who has provided extensive benefit to the estate in this case and who funded the Liquidating Trust.

D. Conclusion and Requested Relief

Based on the foregoing, RBLLC requests that this Court grant the requested relief in the Supplemental Application and direct the immediate payment in the amount of \$128,763.59 to DeConcini McDonald Yetwin & Lacy, P.C., as Counsel for RBLLC as provided in the Confirmation Order. RBLLC further requests such additional and other relief as is just and proper under the circumstances of this Chapter 11 Case.

1	DATED this 20 th day of January, 2011.
2	DECONCINI MCDONALD YETWIN & LACY, P.C.
3	
4	By_/s/ Shelton L. Freeman Shelton L. Freeman
5	Counsel to Radical Bunny, L.L.C.
6	COPIES sent via the U.S. Bankruptcy
7	Court's ECF noticing system this 20th day of January, 2011.
8	
9	COPIES served by e-mail or U.S. Mail this 20th day of January, 2011, to:
10	Sharon B. Shively, Esq.
11	Sacks Tierney P.A.
12	sharon.shively@sackstierney.com Attorneys for ML Liquidating Trustee
13	
14	Mark J. Dorval, Esq. Stradley Ronon Stevens & Young LLP
15	mdorval@stradley.com
16	Attorneys for ML Liquidating Trust
17	Cathy L. Reece, Esq. Fennemore Craig, P.C.
18	creece@fclaw.com
19	Attorneys for ML Manger, LLC
20	Richard M. Lorenzen, Esq.
21	Perkins Coie Brown & Bain P.A. rlorenzen@perkinscoie.com
22	Attorneys for RB Liquidation Manager Corp., and the Official Committee of Unsecured
23	Creditors of Radical Bunny, LLC
24	William Scott Jenkins, Esq.
25	Myers & Jenkins, P.C.
26	wsj@mjlegal.com Attorneys for ML Liquidating Trustee
27	
28	By <u>/s/ Melissa Smith</u>