1	Mark J. Dorval, Esquire Stradley Ronon Stevens & Young, LLP			
2	2600 One Commerce Square Philadelphia, PA 19103			
3	Telephone: 215.564.8000 mdorval@stradley.com			
4	Lead Counsel for the ML Liquidating Trust			
5	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
6	In re:			
7	MORTGAGES LTD., an Arizona Proceedings Under Chapter 11			
8	corporation, Case No. 2:08-bk-07465-RJH			
9	Debtor. LIQUIDATING TRUST'S MOTION FOR			
10	STAY PENDING APPEAL AND FOR STAY OF SUPPLEMENTAL	•		
11	APPLICATION PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(3)(D) AND (4) FOR			
12	ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM OF			
13	CREDITOR RADICAL BUNNY			
14	(Re: Docket No. 3018, 3021)			
15				
16	The Liquidating Trust of Mortgages, Ltd. ("Liquidating Trust"), by and through its counsel,			
17		,		
18	hereby moves this honorable Court to impose a stay of (1) this Court's Findings of Fact and			
19	Conclusions of Law and Amended Order Granting Radical Bunny's Administrative Claim for			
20	Substantial Contribution, [Docket # 3018] (the "Order on Remand"); and (2) the Supplemental			
21	Application Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(3)(D) and (4) for Allowance and Payment of			
22	Administrative Claim of Creditor Radical Bunny, [Docket # 3021] (the "Supplemental			
23	Application") pending the Liquidating Trust's appeal of this Order on Remand for the reasons set			
24				
25	forth in the accompanying Memorandum of Law.			
26				
27				
28				
Case 2:08-bk-07465-RJH Doc 3025 Filed 01/05/11 Entered 01/05/11 15:14:40 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 8				

1	WHEREFORE.	the Liquidating Trust respectfully requests the Court to issue an o	order,		
1 2	substantially in the form filed herewith, staying the enforcement of the Order on Remand and				
2 3					
3 4	staying the Supplemental Application pending the determination of the appeal.				
4 5	Dated: January 5, 2011	STRADLEY RONON STEVENS & YOUN	IG, LLP		
6		By: <u>/s/ Mark J. Dorval</u> Mark J. Dorval, Esquire	_		
7		Lead Counsel for the ML Liquidating T	rust		
8					
8 9					
9 10					
10					
12					
12					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
Cas	e 2:08-bk-07465-RJH	Doc 3025 Filed 01/05/11 Entered 01/05/11 15:14:40 Main Document Page 2 of 8	₽₽%% 1 v.1		

1 2 3 4 5 6		st FES BANKRUPTCY COURT FRICT OF ARIZONA		
7 8 9 10 11 12	In re: MORTGAGES LTD., an Arizona corporation, Debtor.	Proceedings Under Chapter 11 Case No. 2:08-bk-07465-RJH MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF LIQUIDATING TRUST'S MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL AND FOR STAY OF SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(3)(D) AND (4) FOR ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF		
13 14 15		ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM OF CREDITOR RADICAL BUNNY (Re: Docket No. 3018, 3021		
15 16		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	hereby moves this honorable Court to impose <u>Conclusions of Law and Amended Order Gra</u> <u>Substantial Contribution</u> , [Docket # 3018] (th <u>Application Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(3</u> <u>Administrative Claim of Creditor Radical Bun</u> Application") pending the Liquidating Trust's thereof avers as follows:	any, [Docket # 3021] (the "Supplemental s appeal of this Order on Remand, and in support		
Case 2:08-bk-07465-RJH Doc 3025 Filed 01/05/11 Entered 01/05/11 15:14:40 Pessi v. 1 Main Document Page 3 of 8				

I.

BACKGROUND

2	On July 6, 2009, Radical Bunny, LLC ("Radical Bunny") filed its Application
3	Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(3)(D) and (4) for Allowance and Payment of Administrative Claim
4	[Docket # 1888] (the "Application"). On July 27, 2009, the Liquidating Trust filed an Omnibus
5	Objection to Motion for Allowance and Payment of Administrative Claim [Docket # 2014].
6	On November 12, 2009, the parties entered into and filed a Joint Statement of
7 8	Material Facts of Radical Bunny and the Liquidating Trust For Application Pursuant to 11 U.S.C.
° 9	§ 503(b)(3)(D) and (4) for Allowance and Payment of Administrative Claim [Docket # 2395] (the
10	"Joint Stipulation"). On November 16, 2009, the parties entered into a Supplemental Statement of
11	Facts of Radical Bunny and the Liquidating Trust For Application Pursuant to 11 U.S.C.
12	§ 503(b)(3)(D) and (4) for Allowance and Payment of Administrative Claim [Docket # 2407] (the
13	"Supplemental Stipulation" together with the Joint Stipulation, the "Stipulations").
14	
15	On December 17, 2009, this Court entered an Order Granting Radical Bunny's
16	Administrative Claim for Substantial Contribution [Docket # 2514] and an Order Approving
17	Allowance and Payment of Substantial Contribution Claim Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(3)(D)(
18	and (4) [Docket # 2521] (together, the "Orders"). The Orders awarded Radical Bunny, LLC
19	("RBLLC") \$572,945.50 in attorneys' fees and \$22,852.75 in costs, and directed the immediate
20	payment of the full amount, \$595,798.25 (the "Fee Award").
21	On December 28, 2009, the Liquidating Trust filed a Notice of Appeal of the Orders
22 23	(the "Appeal") [Docket # 2529].
23	On January 13, 2010, this Court entered the Order Granting Radical Bunny,
25	L.L.C.'s Motion to Compel Immediate Payment (Or Provide Security for Administrative Claim
26	Pending Appeal) and Denying Liquidating Trust's Motion to Approve Modified Supersedeas,
27	
28	[Docket #2595], which ordered that the Liquidating Trust (1) provide a supersedeas bond to secure
Cas	e 2:08-bk-07465-RJH Doc 3025 Filed 01/05/11 Entered 01/05/11 15:14:40 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 8

payment of the RBLLC, or (2) deposit the Security Amount into the trust account of RBLLC's counsel to be held in trust pending disposition of the appeal, or (3) deposit the Security Amount with an Arizona-licensed escrow agent to be held in escrow until the date that escrow agent receives a further Order of this Court or notice from counsel that such funds should be disbursed.

The Liquidating Trust deposited the Security Amount with an Arizona-licensed escrow agent, First American Title Insurance Company (the "Agent"), with an office in Phoenix, Arizona. Upon information and belief, the escrowed funds have not been released by the Agent.

On August 4, 2010, upon review of briefs submitted by the parties, review of the designated record and after oral argument before the three-judge panel, the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel for the Ninth Circuit reversed the Fee Award and remanded the matter to this Court.

On September 21, 2010, this Court held a status hearing and on December 6, 2010, this Court held an additional hearing on the matter. On December 22, 2010, the Court entered the Order on Remand, and on January 3, 2011, RBLLC filed the Supplemental Application. Finally, on January 5, 2011, the Liquidating Trust filed its Notice of Appeal with respect to the Order on Remand (the "Order on Remand Appeal").

II. RELIEF SOUGHT

The Liquidating Trust seeks a stay of enforcement of the Order on Remand and stay of the Supplemental Application pending resolution of the Order on Remand Appeal.

III. BASIS FOR RELIEF

Bankruptcy Rule 7062(d) provides, in pertinent part, "If an appeal is taken, the appellant may obtain a stay by supersedeas bond . . . The bond may be given upon or after filing the notice of appeal . . . The stay takes effect when the court approves the bond." Further, Bankruptcy Rule 8005 provides that the bankruptcy court has jurisdiction to grant a stay during the pendency of an appeal to approve any supersedeas bond or other security.

Case 2:08-bk-07465-RJH Doc 3025 Filed 01/05/11 Entered 01/05/11 15:14:40 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 8

Per the Court's order of January 13, 2010, which required the posting of funds to 1 2 secure the payment of attorney's fees to counsel for RBLLC, the Liquidating Trust has posted the 3 Security Amount in an escrow account with the Agent. Disbursement of the proceeds of such 4 account are controlled by the escrow agreement. Accordingly, payment to counsel of RBLLC 5 pursuant to the Order on Remand is adequately secured by the amounts held in the escrow account. 6 Because payment to counsel for RBLLC is adequately secured by the Security Amount, this Court 7 should again approve this security and grant a stay of enforcement of the Order pending the 8 outcome of the Appeal. 9 10 If the Liquidating Trust is successful in appealing the Order on Remand, and the 11 Fee Award is again reversed, counsel for RBLLC will not be entitled to recover the fees incurred in 12 connection with litigating the Application. See e.g., In re Riverside-Linden Investment Co., 945 13 F.2d 320 (9th Cir. 1991) (denying fees for litigation of fee application where proponent of the fee 14 application did not prevail in litigation). Further, the Ninth Circuit does not recognize a per se 15 award of fees incurred in connection with the litigation over a fee application for fear that such fees 16 will encourage frivolous fee requests. In re Wind N' Wave, 509 F.3d 938, 943-44 (9th Cir. 2007) 17 18 (citing In re Smith, 305 F.3d 1078, 1085-86 (9th Cir. 2002)); see also In re Smith, 305 F.3d at 19 1088. Accordingly, until such time as an order is entered on the Order on Remand Appeal, the 20 Supplemental Application is not yet ripe for decision and consideration of the Supplemental 21 Application should be stayed. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Entered 01/05/11 15:14:40 Doc 3025 Filed 01/05/11 Case 2:08-bk-07465-RJH ₽ Pesq1 v.1 Main Document Page 6 of 8

T	IV. CONCLUSION
2	WHEREFORE, on the basis of the foregoing, the Liquidating Trust respectfully requests
3	that this Court grant the relief sought herein and enter an order substantially in the form of the
4	attached proposed order.
5	
6	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 5 th day of January, 2011
7	
8	STRADLEY RONON STEVENS & YOUNG, LLP
9	By: <u>/s/ Mark J. Dorval</u> Mark J. Dorval, Esquire Lead Counsel for the ML Liquidating Trust
10	Lead Counsel for the ML Liquidating Trust
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
Cas	e 2:08-bk-07465-RJH Doc 3025 Filed 01/05/11 Entered 01/05/11 15:14:40 المجتري المعام e 2:08-bk-07465-RJH Doc 3025 Filed 01/05/11 Entered 01/05/11 15:14:40 المجترع المحتفي المحت المحتفي المحتفي

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
1		
2	I, Julie M. Murphy, certify, that on January 5, 2011, I electronically transmitted the attached documents to the Clerk's Office, using the CM/ECF System for filing, which transmitted a Notice	
3	of Electronic Filing to the parties in interest via the Court's ECF System, and also served a copy of the documents on the following parties via a separate e-mail:	
4		
5	Shelton L. Freeman, Esq. DeConcini McDonald Yetwin & Lacy, P.C.	
6	tfreeman@lawdmyl.com Fax: 480-398-3101	
7	Attorneys for Radical Bunny	
8	Larry L. Watson, Esq.	
9	U.S. Trustee's Office 230 North Central Avenue, #204 Phone and a second	
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85003-1706 Fax: 602-514-7270	
11	larry.watson@usdoj.gov /s/Julie M. Murphy	
12	Julie M. Murphy	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
Cas	e 2:08-bk-07465-RJH Doc 3025 Filed 01/05/11 Entered 01/05/11 15:14:40 Pesc Main Document Page 8 of 8	