

1 **Myers & Jenkins, P.C.**

2 One East Camelback Road
3 Suite 500
4 Phoenix, Arizona 85012

5 (602) 200-7900

6 William Scott Jenkins (#005896)
7 Jill M. Hulsizer (#023282)
8 Attorneys for Kevin T. O'Halloran,
9 Trustee of the ML Liquidating Trust

10 **IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**
11 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

12 In re:

13 MORTGAGES, Ltd.,
14 Debtor.

15 In Proceedings Under Chapter 11
16 Case No.: 2:08-bk-07465-RJH

17 **NOTICE TO CLAIMANTS**
18 **LISTED ON EXHIBIT E TO ML**
19 **LIQUIDATING TRUST'S**
20 **OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO**
21 **CLAIMS AND MOTION TO**
22 **EXPUNGE, REDUCE OR**
23 **RECLASSIFY SUCH CLAIMS**
24 **AND**
25 **NOTICE OF BAR DATE TO**
26 **RESPOND TO OMNIBUS**
27 **OBJECTION**

28 **NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that Kevin T. O'Halloran, ("Liquidating Trustee"), as
29 Trustee of the ML Liquidating Trust, (the "ML Liquidating Trust"), has filed that certain "*ML*
30 *Liquidating Trust's Omnibus Objection To Claims And Motion To Expunge, Reduce Or Reclassify*
31 *Such Claims*" (the "Omnibus Objection") [Docket No. 2306]¹. **Your proof(s) of claim may be**

32 _____
33 ¹ All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Omnibus
34 Objection.

1 **disallowed, reclassified, reduced and/or otherwise affected as a result of the Omnibus**
2 **Objection; therefore, you should read this Notice carefully.**

3
4 In the Omnibus Objection, the Liquidating Trustee objects to each of the Disputed Claims
5 listed on Exhibits A through K for one or more of the following reasons: (i) such Disputed Claim is
6 improperly classified, (ii) the amount of such Disputed Claim is overstated, (iii) such Disputed Claim
7 is a duplicate claim filed by the same Claimant in the same amount as another claim filed by that
8 Claimant, and/or (iv) Debtor has defenses to such Disputed Claim. Further, in the Omnibus
9 Objection, the Liquidating Trustee requests that the Court enter an Order (a) disallowing in their
10 entirety and expunging duplicative Claims, (b) reclassifying the status of those Disputed Claims that
11 were originally filed as either “secured” or “unknown” to the status of an Unsecured Claim, (c)
12 reducing the amount of those Disputed Claims believed to be overstated to the amount reflected on
13 the Debtor’s Books and Records, and (d) disallowing in their entirety and expunging those Disputed
14 Claims filed by insiders of Debtor, certain borrowers and other non-investors.
15

16 **The Disputed Claims listed on Exhibit E to the Omnibus Objection were filed by the**
17 **Claimants listed on Exhibit E. The Liquidating Trustee objects to the Disputed Claims listed in**
18 **the column titled “Non Surviving Claim No” on Exhibit E to the Omnibus Objection because**
19 **such Disputed Claims are Claims that duplicate in all material respect other Claims filed by the**
20 **same Claimant against the Debtor. The Liquidating Trustee also objects to the Disputed**
21 **Claims listed on Exhibit E because such Disputed Claims have been improperly classified as**
22 **either “secured” or “unknown.” In addition, the Liquidating Trustee also objects to the**
23 **Disputed Claims listed on Exhibit E because such Disputed Claims have been filed in amounts**
24 **greater than that reflected in the Debtor’s Books and Records. The Liquidating Trustee**
25 **requests in the Omnibus Objection that the Court enter an Order disallowing in their entirety**
26
27

1 for all purposes and expunging those Claims listed in the column titled “Non Surviving Claim
2 No” on Exhibit E to the Omnibus Objection, with the surviving Claim being those listed in the
3 column titled “Surviving Claim” on Exhibit E. In addition, the Liquidating Trustee requests in
4 the Omnibus Objection that the Court enter an Order reclassifying the status of each Disputed
5 Claim listed on Exhibit E to that of an Unsecured Claim. Lastly, the Liquidating Trustee
6 requests in the Omnibus Objection that the Court enter an Order reducing the amount of each
7 such Disputed Claim to the amount reflected in the column titled “Allowed Claim” on Exhibit E
8 to the Omnibus Objection.
9

10 **FURTHER NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** pursuant to Local Rule 3007-1 that if you
11 disagree with the Omnibus Objection, you or your attorney **must** file a response (“Response”), in
12 writing, with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Arizona, 230 North 1st Avenue,
13 Phoenix, Arizona, 85003, and serve a copy of your Response on counsel for the ML Liquidating Trust
14 named below, within fifteen (15) days from the date of service of this Notice (“Response Deadline”):
15

16
17 William Scott Jenkins
18 MYERS & JENKINS, P.C.
19 One East Camelback Road, Suite 500
20 Phoenix, AZ 85012
21 Email: wsj@mjlegal.com
22 Counsel for the Kevin T. O’Halloran,
23 Trustee of the ML Liquidating Trust
24

25 The ML Liquidating Trustee suggests that your Response contain the following:

- 26 (i) A caption setting forth the name of the Court, the name of the Debtors, the case
27 number and the title of the Omnibus Objection to which the Response is directed;
- (ii) The name of the Claimant and description of the bases for the amount and status of the
proof of claim;

1 (iii) A concise statement setting forth the reasons why the Court should not sustain the
2 Omnibus Objection, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and/or legal bases upon which
3 the Claimant relies in opposing the Omnibus Objection;

4 (iv) Any documentation or other evidence of the claim upon which the claimant will rely in
5 opposing the Omnibus Objection at the Hearing;

6 (v) A declaration of a person with personal knowledge of the relevant facts that support
7 the Response to the extent the Response makes factual allegations;

8 (vi) The name(s), address(es), telephone number(s) and facsimile number(s) of the
9 person(s) (who may be the Claimant and/or the Claimant's legal representative) to whom counsel for
10 the ML Liquidating Trust should serve any reply to the Response; and

11 (vii) To facilitate a resolution of the Omnibus Objection, the name(s), address(es),
12 telephone number(s) and facsimile number(s) of the person(s) (who may be the Claimant and/or the
13 Claimant's legal representative) who possess the authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve
14 the Omnibus Objection on the Claimant's behalf.

15
16
17 **FURTHER NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that if you file and serve a Response to the
18 Omnibus Objection on or prior to the Response Deadline, the Liquidating Trustee will request a date
19 from the Court on which a hearing (the "Hearing") on the Omnibus Objection will be held in the
20 United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Arizona, 230 North 1st Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona,
21 85003. The Liquidating Trustee will serve you with Notice of Hearing Date at least thirty (30) days
22 prior to such hearing date. Only those Responses timely filed and received by the Court and the ML
23 Liquidating Trust's attorneys on or before the Response Deadline will be considered by the Court at
24 the Hearing.
25
26
27

1 **If you fail to respond in accordance with this Notice, the Court may grant the relief**
2 **requested in the Omnibus Objection without further notice or hearing.**

3 If you agree with the Omnibus Objection to your claim(s), you do not need to take any action
4 or file a Response to the Omnibus Objection.

5 **PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that the ML Liquidating Trust’s personnel will be
6 available to discuss factual questions you may have regarding the ML Liquidating Trust’s objection
7 to your proof of claim. To facilitate such a discussion, you may call or email Erica Jacob at (623)
8 234-9569 or ejacob@mtglt.com. Any legal questions, however, will be referred to the ML
9 Liquidating Trust’s attorneys. Be advised that ML Liquidating Trust’s counsel will not be able to
10 give you legal counsel or advice. In this regard, you are encouraged to consult with your own
11 personal counsel. When you contact the ML Liquidating Trust, please have your proof(s) of claim
12 available. **Speaking with ML Liquidating Trust’s personnel or the ML Liquidating Trust’s**
13 **attorneys regarding the Omnibus Objection will not satisfy or eliminate the requirement that**
14 **you must file a Response before the Response Deadline if you disagree with the Omnibus**
15 **Objection.**

16 **PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that nothing in this Notice or the accompanying
17 Omnibus Objection constitutes a waiver of the ML Liquidating Trust’s right to assert any claims,
18 counterclaims, rights of offset or recoupment, preference actions, fraudulent-transfer actions, or any
19 other bankruptcy claims against you. The ML Liquidating Trust also reserves the right to assert
20 additional objections to your proof(s) of claim.

21 If the Books and Records of the Debtor show you have more than one Claim, or if you filed
22 more than one proof of claim, you may receive separate Notices for different Claims.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

A true and exact copy of the Omnibus Objection has been forwarded to each Claimant listed on Exhibit E to the Omnibus Objection and/or to such Claimant’s counsel on the date set forth below.

DATED: November 2, 2009.

MYERS & JENKINS, P.C.

By /s/ William Scott Jenkins
William Scott Jenkins
Jill M. Hulsizer
Attorneys for Kevin T. O’Halloran,
Trustee of the ML Liquidating Trust