1	Kendall D. Steele, JARDINE, BAKER, HICKMAN & HOUSTON, P.L.L.C. 3300 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 AZ State Bar No. 012367	
2		
3		
4	Phone: (602) 200-9777 Fax: (602) 200-9114	
5	Attorney for Mayer Hoffman McCann, P.C.	
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
8	FOR THE DISTRIC	CT OF ARIZONA
9	In re:	Chapter 11 Proceedings
10	Mortgages Ltd.,	Case No. 2:08-bk-07465-RJH
11	Debtor.	OBJECTIONS OF MAYER, HOFFMAN, McCANN, P.C. TO SUBPOENA FOR RULE 2004
12 13		EXAMINATION FOR PRODUCTION OF BUSINESS RECORDS
14 15		DATE: October 16, 2009 TIME: 10:00 am PLACE: Nussbaum & Gillis
	<i>)</i> 	14500 N. Northsight Blvd. Suite 116
16		Scottsdale, AZ 85260
17		
18	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that N	Mayer, Hoffman, McCann, P.C. ("MHM")
19	asserts the following objections to the 2004 Examination subpoena for production of	
20	business records ("subpoena") served on October 2, 2009:	
21	1. MHM objects to the subpoena on the grounds that the subpoena fails	
22	to allow reasonable time to comply and accordingly subjects MHM to undue burden.	
23	(Fed. Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 45(c)(1).)	
24	2. MHM objects to the subpoena on the grounds that the subpoena is so	
25	broad as to call for production of documents well outside the scope of examination	

allowable under the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Rule 2004. (In re: Good

Hope Refineries, Inc. 9 B.R. 421, 421-423 (Bankr. Mass. 1981); see also F.R.C.P., Rule 26; In re: GHR Energy Corp., 33 B.R. 451, 454 (Bankr. D. Mass. 1983); In re: Isis Foods, Inc., 33 B.R. 45 (Bankr. W.D. Mo. 1983); Matter of Wilcher, 56 B.R. 428, 433-434 (Bankr. N.D. Ill., 1985).)

- 3. MHM objects to the subpoena on the grounds that the subpoena is so broad as to call for production of certain financial information and tax related documents of a third party. MHM is precluded from disclosing any tax related documents or information, either by document production or testimony, pursuant to Internal Revenue Code §§ 7216, 6713, Treas. Regs. § 301.7216, absent a court order permitting them to do so or the taxpayer's consent. Furthermore, the same tax-related documents and information is entitled to privacy protection under the United States and Arizona Constitutions. (*Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479 (1965); *Premium Service Corp. v. Sperry & Hutchinson*, 511 F.2d 225 (9th Cir. 1975); see also, Constitution of the State of Arizona, Article II, Section 8.)
- 4. MHM further objects on the grounds the documents and information requested in the subpoena, financial and otherwise, are entitled to privacy protection under both federal and state law. (*Premium Service Corp. v. Sperry & Hutchinson*, 511 F.2d 225 (9th Cir. 1975); *Johnson by Johnson v. Thompson*, 971 F.2d 1487, 1497; *DeMasi v. Weiss*, 669 F.2d 114, 119-120 (3rd Cir. 1982); see also prohibitions against disclosure of personal financial information under 15 USC §6802; 16 CFR § 313.3 (k)(2)(viii).)

MHM also objects to specific requests as follows:

Request No. 1.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the

4 5

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

request seeks production of information protected by the right of privacy guaranteed under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions.

Request No. 2.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request seeks production of information protected by the right of privacy guaranteed under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions.

Request No. 3.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request seeks production of information protected by the right of privacy guaranteed under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions.

Request No. 4.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 5.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 6.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 7.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 8.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 9.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 10.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 11.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of

Request No.

documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 12.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 13.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 14.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 15.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 16.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 17.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 18.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 19.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 20.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 21.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

2.0

Request No. 22.

The request is overbroad. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request is vague in its use of the term "procedural manuals." The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request seeks production of information protected by the right of privacy guaranteed under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions.

Request No. 22.

The request is overbroad. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request is vague in its use of the term "procedural manuals." The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request seeks production of information protected by the right of privacy guaranteed under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions.

Request No. 23.

The request is overbroad. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request is vague in its use of the term "procedural manuals." The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request seeks production of information protected by the right of privacy guaranteed under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions.

Request No. 24:

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of

1 -

Request No. 25.

documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request is vague in its use of the term "tax-related services."

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request is vague in its use of the term "tax-related services."

Request No. 26.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 27.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 28.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 29.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of

well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 30.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production

Request No. 31.

The request is overbroad. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request is also vague in its use of the terms "performance reviews" and "personnel files." The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request seeks production of information protected by the right of privacy guaranteed under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions. (See *Matter of Hawaii Corp.*, 88 F.R.D. 518, 524 (WD. TN. 1999); *Miller v. Federal Express Corp.*, 186 F.R.D. 376, 384 (WD TN 1999); *Knoll v. American Tel. & Tel. Co.*, 176 F.3d 359, 365 (6th Cir. 1999).)

Request No. 32.

The request is overbroad. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request is also vague in its use of the terms "performance reviews" and "personnel files." The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request seeks production of information protected by the right of privacy guaranteed under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions. (See *Matter of Hawaii Corp.*, 88 F.R.D. 518, 524 (WD. TN. 1999); *Miller v. Federal Express Corp.*, 186 F.R.D. 376,

18 | Request

384 (WD TN 1999); *Knoll v. American Tel. & Tel. Co.*, 176 F.3d 359, 365 (6th Cir. 1999).)

Request No. 33.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request seeks production of information protected by the right of privacy guaranteed under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions. (See *Matter of Hawaii Corp.*, 88 F.R.D. 518, 524 (WD. TN. 1999); *Miller v. Federal Express Corp.*, 186 F.R.D. 376, 384 (WD TN 1999); *Knoll v. American Tel. & Tel. Co.*, 176 F.3d 359, 365 (6th Cir. 1999).)

Request No. 34.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request seeks production of information protected by the right of privacy guaranteed under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions. (See *Matter of Hawaii Corp.*, 88 F.R.D. 518, 524 (WD. TN. 1999); *Miller v. Federal Express Corp.*, 186 F.R.D. 376, 384 (WD TN 1999); *Knoll v. American Tel. & Tel. Co.*, 176 F.3d 359, 365 (6th Cir. 1999).)

Request No. 35.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. Moreover, the request goes well beyond the scope of examination allowable under FRBP, Rule 2004

Request No. 36.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense.

Request No. 37.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request seeks production of information protected by the right of privacy guaranteed under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions.

Request No. 38.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request seeks production of information protected by the right of privacy guaranteed under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions.

Request No. 39.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. Moreover, the request goes well beyond the scope of examination allowable under F.R.B.P., Rule 2004.

Request No. 40.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004.

Request No. 41.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request seeks production of information protected by the right of privacy guaranteed under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions.

Request No. 42.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request seeks production of information protected by the right of privacy guaranteed under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions.

Request No. 42.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense.

Request No. 43.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense.

Request No. 44.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of

24

25

-12-

documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request seeks production of information protected by the right of privacy guaranteed under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions.

Request No. 45.

The request is overbroad and vague. The request is also oppressive and overly burdensome in that it requires the extensive compilation and evaluation of documents which will require undue effort or expense. The request seeks production well beyond the scope of examination allowed under FRBP, Rule 2004. Moreover, the request seeks production of information protected by the right of privacy guaranteed under both the United States and Arizona Constitutions.

Dated this 15th day of October, 2009.

JARDINE, BAKER, HICKMAN & HOUSTON, P.L.L.C.

By /s/Kendall S. Steele, #012367 Kendall D. Steele 3300 North Central Avenue, #2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Attorneys for Mayer Hoffman McCann, P.C.