1 2	FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. Cathy L. Reece (005932) Keith L. Hendricks (012750) 3003 N. Central Ave., Suite 2600		
3 4	Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Telephone: (602) 916-5343 Facsimile: (602) 916-5543 Email: creece@fclaw.com		
5 6	Attorneys for ML Manager LLC		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
8	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
9	In re	Chapter 11	
10	MORTGAGES LTD.,	Case No. 2:08-bk-07465-RJH	
11	Debtor.	ML MANAGER LLC'S OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 113-1 FILED BY GOLD CREEK INC.	
12			
13 14	ML Manager LLC, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 502 (a) and 502(c) and Federal Rule		
14	of Bankruptcy Procedure 3007(a) and the Investors Committee's First Amended Plan of		
16	Reorganization which was confirmed by the Court in this case, hereby objects to proof of		
17	claim number 113-1 filed by Gold Creek Inc., and all amendments and supplements		
18	thereto ("Claims and Claimant"). The Claimant asserts Claims against Mortgages Ltd.		
19	arising from the Chateaux on Central property. Under the Investors Committee's Plan,		
20	Gold Creek Inc. and the Investors Committee agreed to a procedure to resolve the lien		
21	priority of the Claims. This proof of claim asserts a secured claim for the full amount.		
22	Previously on August 14, 2009, ML Manager filed a Notice of Objection to Gold Creek's		
23	Mechanics Liens (Docket No. 2089). This objection is filed as an additional precaution in		
24	the event that a formal objection to the proof of claim is necessary. If the Gold Creek's		
25	lien priority is upheld then all or a portion of the Gold Creek Claim may be secured and a		
26	portion may be unsecured under Class 11 of the Plan. If the Gold Creek lien priority is not		
27	upheld then all of Gold Creek's Claims would be unsecured and treated under Class 11 of		
\mathbf{r}	the Plan.		

28 FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

PHOENIX

2

1

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction to hear this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1. 3 157 and 1334. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This is a core 4 proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B).

5 2. The statutory predicate for the relief requested herein is 11 U.S.C. §§ 501 6 and 502, and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

7

I.

OBJECTION TO CLAIMS

8

General Overview of Objections to Proof of Claims A.

9 Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code provides the general procedural mechanism 10 for a debtor or a party-in-interest to object to a creditor's claim or interest. See 11 U.S.C. 11 § 502(a). Bankruptcy Rule 3001 provides that "[a] proof of claim executed and filed in 12 accordance with [the Bankruptcy Rules] shall constitute prima facie evidence of the 13 validity and amount of the claim." See Fed.R.Bankr.P. 3001(f). This is true even if the 14 proof of claim is executed by the creditor's attorney rather than the creditor or a principal 15 of the creditor. See Garner v. Shier (In re Garner), 246 B.R. 617, 622 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 16 2000). As such, failure of a debtor or party-in-interest to object would result in such proof 17 of claim being deemed allowed. See 11 U.S.C. § 502(a); Irvine-Pacific Commercial Ins. 18 Brokers, Inc. v. Adams (In re Irvine-Pacific Commercial Ins. Brokers, Inc.), 228 B.R. 245, 19 246 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1998).

20 Upon an objection by a debtor or party-in-interest, however, the Court, "after 21 notice and a hearing, shall determine the amount of such claim in lawful currency of the 22 United States as of the date of the filing of the petition, and shall allow such claim in such 23 amount, except to the extent that . . . such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law" See 11 U.S.C. 24 25 § 502(b)(1). Basic claim objection procedure requires that an objection to claim be in 26 writing and be filed. See Fed.R.Bankr.P. 3007. Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a) provides:

27

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

PHOENIX

28

2246630

An objection to the allowance of a claim shall be in writing and filed. A copy of the objection with notice of the hearing

thereon shall be mailed or otherwise delivered to the claimant.

the debtor or debtor in possession and the trustee at least 30 days prior to the hearing.

See Fed.R.Bankr.P. 3007(a). Additionally, an objecting party must present sufficient evidence and "show facts tending to defeat the claim by probative force equal to the allegations of the proofs of claim themselves." Wright v. Holm (In re Holm), 931 F.2d 620, 623 (9th Cir. 1991); Abbate v. U.S. (In re Abbate), 187 B.R. 9, 12 (D. Nev. 1995). The evidence must be such that "if believed would refute at least one of the allegations that is essential to the claim's legal sufficiency." See Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialist, Inc. (In re Lundell), 223 F.3d 1035, 1040 n.2 (9th Cir. 2000).

A "properly filed" proof of claim, as proscribed by the Judicial Conference in Official Form 10, consists of "(1) a creditor's name and address, (2) basis for claim, (3) date debt incurred, (4) amount of claim, (5) classification of claim, and (6) supporting documents." *In re Armstrong*, 320 B.R. 97, 104 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2005) (citations omitted). The documentation required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001 and Official Form 10 allows the debtor to have enough information to fully determine whether or not a valid claim in the proper amount has been filed. *Id.* at 104-05. If the proof of claim lacks *prima facie* validity, objections that raise a factual or legal ground will likely prevail absent an adequate response by the claimant. *See Campbell v. Verizon Wireless S-CA (In re Campbell)*, 336 B.R. 430, 436 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 2005).

B. <u>Claims and Claimant</u>

The Claimant asserts Claims against Mortgages Ltd. arising from the Chateaux on Central property. Under the Investors Committee's Plan, Gold Creek Inc. and the Investors Committee agreed to a procedure to resolve the lien priority of the Gold Creek Claims. This proof of claim asserts a secured claim for the full amount. Previously on August 14, 2009, ML Manager filed a Notice of Objection to Gold Creek's Mechanics Liens (Docket No. 2089). This objection is filed as an additional precaution in the event that a formal objection to the proof of claim is necessary. If the Gold Creek's lien priority is upheld then all or a portion of the Gold Creek Claim may be secured and a portion may

Fennemore Craig, P.C.

PHOENIX

1	be unsecured under Class 11 of the Plan. If the Gold Creek lien priority is not upheld then		
2	all of Gold Creek's Claims would be unsecured and treated under Class 11 of the Plan.		
3	The Claims should be denied or realigned for all these reasons.		
4	II. <u>CONCLUSION</u>		
5	This Court should hold an evidentiary hearing, grant the Objection and deny or		
6	6 realign any claim asserted by the Claimant.		
7	DATED: October 13, 2009		
8	FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.		
9	By <u>/s/ Cathy L. Reece</u>		
10	Cathy L. Reece Attorneys for ML Manager LLC		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22 23			
23 24			
25			
25 26			
20 27			
28			
FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. Phoenix	- 4 -		