1	Robert A. West, MBN 38604		
2	HAYNES BENEFITS PC		
3	1650 NE Grand, Suite 201 Lee's Summit, Missouri 64086		
4	(816) 875-1919 – Telephone		
5	(816) 875-1920 - Facsimile Transmission west@haynesbenefits.com		
6	Thomas S. Moring, ABN 021247		
7	PAK & MORING PLC		
	8930 E. Raintree Drive, Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85260		
8	(480) 444-9999 – Telephone		
9	(480) 308-0015 – Facsimile Transmission tom@pakmoring.com		
10			
11	Attorneys for Mortgages Ltd. 401(k) Plan		
12	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
13	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
14	In re:) In Proceedings Under Chapter 11	
15	MORTGAGES LTD.,) Case No. 2:08-bk-07465-RJH	
16	an Arizona corporation,	PEDLY OF HAVAIES REALESTS TO ORIFERIAN	
17	an Anzona corporation,	REPLY OF HAYNES BENEFITS TO OBJECTION OF LIQUIDATING TRUST TO HAYNES	
18	Debtor.	BENEFITS PC'S APPLICATION FOR	
19		ALLOWANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM FOR ATTORNEYS FEES AND COSTS	
20			
21	Haynes Benefits PC, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby submits this Reply to the		
22	Objection filed by Liquidating Trust ("Objection") [DE 1989] to the Application for Allowance		
23	Administrative Claim ("Fee Application") filed by Haynes Benefits.		
24	Factual Background		
25 26	As the Objection notes, the Debtor petitioned this Court, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 as		
27	327 to approve the appointment of the Haynes Benefits PC law firm to provide services to the		
28	Debtor. Specifically, Haynes Benefits was to provide service to Debtor's ERISA qualified 401(k) Pla		
l			

1

Reply to Trustee Objection

("401(k) Plan"). By Order of this court on or about August 11, 2008, Haynes Benefits was approved as a professional to provide services to the Plan.

In Haynes Benefits' Application, and in the Objection, each side points to the fact that it believes the fees due to Haynes Benefits are properly payable by the 401(k) Plan. See Objection at p. 2, Application at p. 2. In fact, even in the Objection the Liquidating Trust "concurs that —to the extent fees are properly payable—they should be paid out of the 401(k) Plan and not by the Liquidating Trust." See Objection at p. 2. It is important to note that the Liquidating Trust has not raised an objection to the amount of the fees claimed, or the services performed. In fact, the Liquidating Trust asserts that, aside from certain issues raised with regard to issues related to the services provided, "the Liquidating Trustee takes no position with regard to the reasonableness or necessity of the fees and expenses incurred by Haynes Benefits." See Objection at p. 3. No other party has come forward to object to the fee request.

In short the Liquidating Trust believes, as does Haynes Benefits, that the fees due Haynes Benefits should come from the 401(k) Plan. However, the Liquidating Trustee "has frozen the 401(k) Plan account except for urgent payments required in the ordinary course." See Objection at p. 3.

Legal Argument

Based on the refusal to compensate Haynes Benefits, the Liquidating Trustee apparently believes that the fees due Haynes Benefits are not "urgent payments required in the ordinary course." The quandary to Haynes Benefits is clear. The Liquidating Trustee asserts that the Plan should pay the fees due Haynes Benefits. Yet at the same time, the Liquidating Trustee has frozen the Plan assets that would otherwise be used to make that payment.

As a result of the Liquidating Trustee's decision, of which Haynes Benefits was made aware on or about June 24, 2009, Haynes Benefits submitted its Fee Application. To the extent the Liquidating Trustee has utilized the powers granted to him pursuant to his appointment to "freeze" the Plan accounts, Haynes Benefits seeks this Court's Order directing the Liquidating Trustee to pay the fees and costs due Haynes Benefits. Haynes Benefits does not assert that such payment should come from the Liquidating Trust, and continues to assert that these fees are properly a Plan expense.

Haynes Benefits seeks an Order from this Court directing the Liquidating Trust to immediately surrender any and all control of the Plan to the properly appointed Co-Trustees of the 401(k) Plan. To the extent the Liquidating Trustee continues to hold the Plan funds in their current 'frozen" state, the Liquidating Trustee should be directed to make payment to Haynes Benefits immediately. Haynes Benefits continues to believe such payment should be made by the 401(k) Plan, and requests the Court to so order. In the interest of judicial economy, Haynes Benefits suggests that the more prudent course is to order the Liquidating Trustee to return control to the 401(k) Plan's Co-Trustees, and allow the Co-Trustees to make such decisions as they feel necessary in their fiduciary capacity to administer the Plan.

Conclusion

Haynes Benefits seeks an Order directing the Liquidating Trustee to "unfreeze" the 401(k) Plan accounts, and allow the Co-Trustees of the Plan to make such decisions as are reasonably necessary in the ordinary course. In the alternative, Haynes Benefits asks the Court to order the Liquidating Trustee to make payment to Haynes Benefits for services rendered, whether from the 401(k) Plan accounts or the Liquidating Trust.

1	DATED: September 1, 2009
2	
3	_/s/ Robert A. West
4	Robert A. West,
5	HAYNES BENEFITS PC
6	
7	/s/ Thomas S. Moring
8	Thomas S. Moring,
9	PAK & MORING PLC
10	Attorneys for Haynes Benefits
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
]	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 1, 2009, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the parties in interest via the Court's ECF System and to the following, whose registration status is unknown:

Kevin J. Blakley	Charles A. Lamar	Ryan W. Anderson
Gammage & Burnham, P.L.C.	Justin C. Lamar	Guttilla Murphy Anderson, PC
Two North Central Avenue,	818 North First Street	4150 West Northern Avenue
18 th F1	Phoenix, AZ 85004	Phoenix, AZ 85051
Phoenix, AZ 85004		randerson@gamlaw.com
Kblakley@gblaw.com	jlamar@kmldevelopment.com	Attorney for: Department of
Attorney for: Ronald L. Kohner	Attorney for: University & Ash;	Financial Institutions
	Roosevelt Gateway, Roosevelt	
	Gateway II and KML	
Jerome K. Elwell	Felecia A. Rotellini	C. Bradley Vynalek
Warner Angle	Robert Charlton	Quarles & Brady LLP
	AZ Dept. of Financial	One Renaissance Square
12 110011111, 1 12 000 1	Institutions	2 North Central Avenue
[] • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2910 N. 44 th St., Suite 310	Phoenix, AZ 85004
1. 1.0.01.1.07 1.0.1.1 1.0.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	Phoenix, AZ 85018	bvynalek@quarles.com
	Rotellini@azdfi.gov	Attorney for: Ashley Coles
	rcharlton@azdfi.gov	
Scott A. Rose	Robert J. Spurlock	Sheldon Sternberg
Kerry M. Griggs	Bonnett, Fairbourn, Friedman &	3212 Rainbow Ridge Drive
1	Balint	Prescott, AZ 86303
,	2901 N. Central Avenue, #1000	sheldonsternberg@q.com
	Phoenix, AZ 85012-3311	Pro Per
srose@cavanaghlaw.com	bspurlock@bffb.com	
kgriggs@cavanaghlaw.com	Attorney for: Foothills Plaza IV,	
Attorney for: Central PHX	LLC	
Partners		
Patrick R. Barrowclough	Kelly Haddad	
Atkinson, Hamill &	21586 N. Greenway Road	
Barrowclough PC	Maricopa, AZ 85238	
3550 N. Central Ave., #1150	(520) 251-7303	
Phoenix, AZ 85012	Kellyhaddad01@mac.com	
Patrick.Barrowclough@azbar.or	Kelly Haddad and Navval Haddad,	
g	Creditors - Pro Per	
Attorney for: Chuck Niday,		
Trustee for Ross Verne Family		

By: /s/ Thomas S. Moring